Introduction

The use of bisphosphonates is increasing rapidly. These drugs are being prescribed for osteoporosis, osteogenesis imperfecta, bone metastases of various malignancies (most commonly prostate or breast cancer), multiple myeloma and other diseases (1-4). The vast majority of patients on bisphosphonates are osteoporotic and receive per os treatment in order to prevent bone fractures (5). These patients frequently require dental treatment with or without dental implants (6). Nevertheless, many case reports of jaw osteonecrosis in patients on bisphosphonate therapy (bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws – BRONJ) have been published since 2003, and various prevention and treatment protocols for this condition have been advocated (1-4,7-9).

The aim of this paper was to present and discuss the necessary precautions for a successful dental treatment including implant placement and prevention of BRONJ in patients receiving per os bisphosphonates.

Case reports

Case report 1
A 70 years old woman already treated with implant supported prostheses in the past, requested a fixed partial denture supported by additional dental implants. Her medical history was significant for hypertension and hyperlipidemia, both controlled by medications. For the past 2 months, she was managed for osteoporosis with oral bisphosphonates (Risedronate). Her medical history was significant for hypertension and hyperlipidemia, both controlled by medications. For the past 2 months, she was managed for osteoporosis with oral bisphosphonates (Risedronate). Calcium and vitamin D3 supplements. The patient was informed of the possible risks and, after consultation with her physician, she agreed to a drug holiday of 3 months before and 3 months after implant surgery. After careful clinical and radiographic evaluation involving orthopantomogram (Fig. 1) and 3D Volumetric Tomography (Morita Acuitomo, Japan) (Fig. 2, 3, 4), the treatment plan consisted of extraction of the right...
maxillary first premolar and first molar because of their poor prognosis and placement of 3 dental implants in the right posterior maxilla (sites 14, 15, 17) (Branemark System Mk III Groovy, NobelBiocare, Goteborg, Sweden). The patient was under antibiotic coverage (Amoxicillin 500mg three times daily; GlaxoSmithKline, Athens, Greece) that started 24 hours before the surgical intervention and finished ten days postoperatively.

The final prosthetic restoration was a fixed metal-ceramic partial denture that was inserted 3 months after implant placement, directly attached on the implants without the application of transmucosal abutments. The treatment plan was completed uneventfully. No complications were noted during a 2-year follow-up period (Fig. 5).

Case report 2
A 65 years old woman requested fixed prosthetic restorations supported by dental implants. Her medical history was significant only for osteoporosis, which was managed with oral bisphosphonates (Alendronate) for the past 4 years. The patient was informed of the possible risks and consulted her treating physician concerning the temporary discontinuation of the drug. The physician agreed for a drug holiday of 3 months before and 3 months after surgery to ensure uneventful healing and successful osseointegration of the implants and to minimize the risk of BRONJ. After careful clinical and radiographic examination, including orthopantomogram (Fig. 6) and 3D Volumetric Tomography (Dental scan Newtom, Italy) the treatment plan consisted of extraction of the right maxillary canine and second premolar because of their poor prognosis. The first surgical intervention involved all maxillary implants. The placement of 4 dental implants in the right posterior maxilla followed immediately after the extractions (sites 13, 14, 16, 17). The implant on site 13 (SPI, Alpha Bio, Petach Tikva Israel) 16 mm was placed by a flapless approach and was immediately connected to a prefabricated Ti abutment and attained immediate provisionalization and passive immediate loading.
Implants in sites 14, 16 and 17 (Branemark System Mk III Groovy, NobelBiocare, Goteborg, Sweden) 13 mm were applied by the traditional two-stage approach along with closed sinus augmentation through the alveolar crest (Summers’ technique) (Fig. 7). The placement of the 4 implants in the left posterior maxilla (sites 25, 26, 27, 28) was accomplished, simultaneously with sinus augmentation via lateral approach (osteotomy window technique) (Fig. 7).

In the second surgical session (one month later) 6 implants were placed in the posterior regions of the mandible (sites 36, 37, 38, 46, 47, 48) by the traditional two-stage approach (Fig. 7). The patient was under antibiotic coverage Amoxicillin 500mg three times daily (GlaxoSmithKline, Athens, Greece) that started 24 hours before each of the surgical interventions and finished 10 days postoperatively.

The final prosthetic restorations were fixed metal-ceramic partial dentures that were inserted 6 months after placement in the maxilla and 3 months after placement in the mandible, directly attached on the implants without the application of a transmucosal abutment. The treatment plan was completed uneventfully. No complications were noted during a 4-year follow-up period (Fig. 8).

**DISCUSSION**

Bisphosphonates may be administered per os or intravenously (IV) (3,4). Alendronate, Risedronate and Ibandronate are commonly administered per os for treating osteoporosis, while other drugs (such as Zoledronate and Pamidronate) are administered IV mainly for treating bone malignancies (4). The major difference between per os and IV administered bisphosphonates lies in their bioavailability (0.64% and 60% respectively) (10-12). Bisphosphonates accumulate in the bone matrix and prevent the function of osteoclasts, leading them to apoptosis (13,14). Bone vascularity is decreased and bone remodelling and healing are hindered (2-4,7,15). Bisphosphonates may be detected in the bone even many years after the end of treatment (4, 7, 14, 15).

The frequency of BRONJ is unknown. Various studies on IV administered bisphosphonates report BRONJ rates of 1.9 – 28% with the most common causative factor being dentoalveolar surgery (16-23). As a result, dentoalveolar surgery and placement of dental implants in patients on IV bisphosphonates is generally contraindicated (16-23). As for oral bisphosphonates, BRONJ rates of 0.09-0.34% after tooth extraction have been reported (4). In a large Australian study, the frequency of BRONJ occurring after extractions was estimated at 1 in 296 to 1 in 1,130 extractions in patients taking oral bisphosphonates (24). Marx et al. presented 30 cases of BRONJ in patients taking oral bisphosphonates (90% Alendronate, 10% Risedronate) for more than 3 years (12). Khosla et al. claimed that only a total of 64 cases of BRONJ in patients taking oral bisphosphonates had been reported in the literature until 2007 (25). Consequently, the risk of BRONJ in patients on per os bisphosphonates may be considered low but cannot be ignored (25).

The risk factors for developing BRONJ can be divided into drug-related, local and systemic factors (4). Systemic risk factors include other drugs (chemotherapeutics, corticosteroids), diabetes mellitus, smoking, as well as other conditions (2-4,7,26-28). In the cases presented here, no other systemic factors were identified. On the other hand, local triggering agents include...
dentoalveolar surgery (e.g. tooth extraction or dental implant placement) or denture-induced traumatic ulcers, along with poor oral hygiene (2-4,12,15,29). In 20-25% of BRONJ cases, no triggering agent can be identified (8,12).

BRONJ commonly presents as an unhealed extraction socket or a poorly healed surgical site (2-4). The mandible is usually affected in 65-70% of the cases (4). In the early stages, BRONJ may be presented as mucosal inflammation without bone exposure (2-4). After a period of time, necrotic bone will be seen in the oral cavity (avascular necrosis) (8,12). BRONJ is usually painless, unless it is infected or there are sharp bone fragments that cause additional trauma in the adjacent mucosa (2-4). During the progression of BRONJ, other signs may appear such as fistula, jaw fractures and excessive osteolysis, even involving the lower border of the mandible (2-4). Ruggiero et al. (4) divide BRONJ into 4 stages.

- Stage 0, no clinical evidence of necrotic bone, but non-specific clinical findings and symptoms.
- Stage 1, exposed and necrotic bone in asymptomatic patients without evidence of infection.
- Stage 2, exposed and necrotic bone associated with infection as evidenced by pain and erythema in region of exposed bone with or without purulent drainage.
- Stage 3, exposed and necrotic bone in patients with pain, infection, and one or more of the following: exposed and necrotic bone extending beyond the region of alveolar bone, resulting in pathologic fracture, extraoral fistula, oral antral/oral nasal communication, or osteolysis extending to the inferior border of the mandible or the sinus floor.

The AAOMS position paper claims that patients may be considered to have BRONJ if all of the following 3 characteristics are present: current or previous treatment with a bisphosphonate, exposed bone in the maxillofacial region that has persisted for more than 8 weeks, no history of radiation therapy to the jaws (4). Biopsy of the lesion is not routinely recommended, because the histopathologic features are not unique, and the trauma inflicted may worsen the condition (4,9).

Notwithstanding the minimal risk of patients on per os bisphosphonates for developing BRONJ, a thorough examination of the oral cavity is advised (4,12). The decreased risk of developing BRONJ in the first 3 years of therapy gives the dentist the necessary time to treat any site of inflammation (4,12). These patients must have a meticulous oral hygiene and a regular dental follow-up schedule (4,7,8). Patients on per os bisphosphonates may receive any non-surgical dental treatment (4,7,8,15). For individuals who have taken oral bisphosphonates for fewer than 3 years and have no clinical risk factors, any surgical procedure may be performed (4). If the duration of bisphosphonate treatment exceeds a 3 years period or there are other systemic risk factors (such as chronic corticosteroid use), it is preferable for the patient to be on a drug holiday in order to undergo oral surgery (4,7,8,12,15). If systemic conditions permit, the clinician might consider discontinuation of oral bisphosphonates for a 3-month period before and a 3-month period after surgery to lower the risk of BRONJ and ensure successful healing (4). The surgical intervention should be minimally invasive and performed with antibiotic coverage (7,8,15). If systemic conditions do not permit the drug discontinuation, necessary surgical procedures should be performed after patient’s approval (7,8,15).

Marx et al. proposed a new method for evaluating the bone remodeling ability by observation of the morning fasting serum C-terminal telopeptide (CTX) (12). This method has not yet been approved by the majority of the scientific community.

If BRONJ develops in a patient receiving per os bisphosphonates, drug discontinuation is advisable for a period of 6-12 months, thus facilitating successful healing or sequestration if the necrosis is small (4,12,15,29). In case of large necrosis, the drug discontinuation may not be enough for successful healing (12). If the exposed bone becomes mobile or shows radiographic evidence of sequestration, then a local debridement can be accomplished after a drug holiday of 6-12 months (12). Apart from the discontinuation of oral bisphosphonates, the following procedures have been proposed by AAOMS, according to the stage of the disease.

- Stage 0, systemic management, including use of pain medication and antibiotics.
- Stage 1, antibacterial mouth rinse (chlorhexidine 0,12%).
- Stage 2, systemic antibiotics, antibacterial mouth rinse, pain control; stage 3, all of the above along with possible surgical debridement / resection (4).

Regardless of disease stage, mobile segments of bony sequestrum, as well as exposed bone fragments, should be removed and symptomatic teeth within exposed, necrotic bone should be extracted (3,4,9). Osteonecrosis may also be covered by a special splint to avoid further trauma (7). Strict follow-up schedule is also advised (every 3 weeks) (2,7).

Newest methods for the treatment of BRONJ include ozone, hyperbaric oxygen and laser therapy (30,31). Ozone and hyperbaric oxygen therapy, both combined with conservative removal of necrotic tissue, may stimulate cell proliferation, soft tissue healing and angiogenesis (30,31). On the other hand, laser applications at low intensity (Low Level Laser Therapy - LLLT) as well as Er:YAG laser have been reported in the literature for the treatment of BRONJ. Laser therapy improves the reparative process, stimulates lymphatic and blood capillaries growth and can be used for conservative removal of necrotic bone (30). Long-term, prospective studies are required to establish the efficacy.
of these methods (30,31). Dental implants have a high rate of success (95% or better) when correctly implanted into the jaws (32). The successful integration and function of an implant involves 3 phases: osteoconduction, osteogenesis, bone remodelling (33). Bisphosphonates significantly reduce bone turnover and as a result they may interfere with integration (34,35). The occurrence of dental implant failure with or without osteonecrosis due to per os bisphosphonates is not known; yet several surveys have indicated that it may be considered rare (6, 35-38).

Leonida et al. reported a 100% success rate without implant loss or BRONJ development in a pilot study of patients on oral bisphosphonates for osteoporosis after immediate loading of dental implants in mandible full arch (39).

Bedogni et al. reported a case of BRONJ after implant placement in patients receiving oral nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates; they highlighted the need to offer a full explanation of the potential risks of BRONJ development and implant failure in patients receiving per os bisphosphonates and stressed the importance of meticulous long-term oral hygiene of implant-prosthetic restorations (40).

A large Australian survey in 16,000 patients who had received dental implants showed that only 7 patients on per os bisphosphonate therapy experienced implant failure or BRONJ and the implant failure rate was calculated 1 in 114 (0.89%) (32). Five of these patients had been on bisphosphonate therapy for 3 years or more (32). In 3 patients one implant failed to integrate, while in 4 patients one or more implants lost their osseointegration and were removed (32).

Madrid et al., 2009, in a systematic review stated that the placement of implants may be considered a safe procedure in patients taking oral bisphosphonates for less than 5 years (41).

In general, it is advised to discontinue the per os bisphosphonate treatment, after consultation with the treating physician and if systemic conditions permit, for at least 3 months before the placement of the implants and until osseointegration is achieved, especially in patients receiving oral bisphosphonates for more than 3 years and/or treated jointly with corticosteroids (4,12,42,43). Serologic bone turnover tests have been proposed, but their clinical value is uncertain, unless more surveys are conducted (32).

Informed consent must be obtained before placing dental implants on patients receiving per os bisphosphonates (4,43). Last but not least, prolonged follow-up is indicated (44).

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, the risk of BRONJ in patients on per os bisphosphonates may be considered minimal but cannot be ignored (41,45). Dental implants can be placed in these patients as long as they are aware of the possible risks and the necessary precautions are taken.
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